

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Orders

The 7th October, 1982

No. ID/RTK/87/82/46159.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Standard Auto Industries, Jhajjar Road, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter(s) specified below, being either matter(s) in dispute or matter(s) relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workmen for adjudication :—

Whether the date of appointment in the establishment of Sarvshri Hawa Singh, Baljit Singh and Jai Singh, workmen should be treated as 11th November, 1971, 11th April, 1975 and 9th May, 1975, respectively? If so, with what detail?

M. KUTTAPPAN,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour and Employment Department.

The 11th October, 1982

No. ID/RTK/115/82/46474.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri J. N. Bhargava and the management of M/s Themis Pharmaceuticals, Prop. Chemosyn Pvt. Ltd., 38, Suren Road, Bombay, 400093, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASQ(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri J. N. Bhargava was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 7th October, 1982

No. ID/SPT/64/82/46152.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Vijay Kumar and the management of M/s Haryana Agro Foods and Fruit Processing Plant, Murthal (Sonapat), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And Whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASQ (E) Lab-70/13648 dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Vijay Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?